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BIOFUELS INDUSTRIAL STRATEGY

1 Executive Summary

This document presents the proposed South African Biofuels Industrial Strategy and outlines Government’s approach to policy, regulations and incentives. This is a refinement of the draft Strategy approved by Cabinet for public comments in December 2006. The changes are based on comments received from a wide range of stakeholders. The stakeholders’ comments covered areas such as water limitations, food security (availability and affordability), land prices and land restitution, environmental concerns, biofuels quality, technology choices, crop selection/choices, support and incentives and concerns that strategy/policy is taking too long to be finalized.

The draft Strategy was developed by the Biofuels Task Team appointed by Cabinet in December 2005 with a mandate to develop a national Biofuels Industrial Strategy targeted at creating jobs in the energy-crop and biofuels value chain, and to act as a bridge between the first and second economy.

A significant change to the draft Strategy is to adopt a short term focus (5 year pilot) to achieve a 2% penetration level of biofuels in the national liquid fuel supply, or 400 million litres pa. The target has been revised down from the 4.5% target that was initially proposed in the draft Strategy document. The following crops are proposed for the production of biofuels in the country: for Bioethanol, sugar cane and sugar beet and for Biodiesel sunflower, canola and soya beans. The exclusion of other crops and plants such as maize and Jatropha is based on the food security concerns. Further research is still needed to test usability of these in the country.

The 2% level can be achieved without jeopardising food security. The strategy targets new and additional land and proposes that basic food crops be excluded in the initial stages. This will require about 1.4% of arable land in South Africa. Currently 14% of arable land, mainly in the former homelands, is under utilised. The producer (investor) incentive should only be allocated to projects that involve expansion that assist in achieving the 2% target. This will ensure job...
creation, expanded agricultural production, and increased food supply. In the case of extreme
drought and crop failures, a certain percentage of feedstock on the additional agricultural land
may be redirected to the food market to address shortages. In terms of the first and second
economy trajectory, government will have to support the development of the under utilised land
to a level that will compete commercially. Farmers located in underdeveloped land will be
encouraged through cooperatives (where possible) to participate in the running of biofuels
refineries.

The existing fuel levy exemption, for biodiesel that is a product (as opposed to producer)
element should continue.

- Biodiesel currently enjoys a 40% fuel levy exemption. It is proposed that once the
  Strategy is approved, this levy exemption be increased to 50% from the 2008/9
  financial year. Thereafter the percentage will remain the same but the absolute value
  of the support will increase with increases in the fuel levy. It is impractical to
  increase the exemption beyond 50% due to the impact of the current diesel tax
  refund scheme.

- A 100% fuel tax exemption is proposed for bioethanol as it can also be used in
  markets other than petrol e.g. ethanol gel that competes with illuminating paraffin.
  Illuminating paraffin carries no levies.

The producer support mechanism will be used to balance the difference in fuel tax support to
bioethanol and biodiesel by setting a fixed margin price. A 100% petrol tax exemption amounts
to an effective support of R1.21 per litre, whereas a 50% diesel fuel levy exemption amounts to
an effective support of R0.53 per litre. The support to bioethanol as a product is thus 68 cents
per litre higher than diesel. The proposed support during the initial stages should assist
investors to achieve a reasonable return on investments.

To reduce project risk related to feedstock provision, further support to the farmers and
particularly communities living on the under utilised land, will be needed. This can be done
through existing agricultural support programmes as well as from support of investments made
by the project in agricultural development.
1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

Internationally, the growth of the biofuels industry has been driven by a number of factors which includes: the support for renewable energy, support for cleaner and environmentally friendly energy sources in a bid to limit global warming; upliftment of the agricultural sector (through utilisation of surplus agricultural land to produce products in excess of food needs); promotion of sustainable development, exertion of downward pressure on global crude oil prices and the need to improve energy security. The biofuels programme has the potential to uplift agricultural sectors and to unlock substantial economic benefits in sub-Saharan Africa, South America and other developing regions, in particular by:

- Attracting investment into rural areas;
- Promoting agricultural development;
- Import substitution of foreign oil with balance of payment savings; and
- Overcoming the trade distorting effects that South Africa, African subcontinent and other developing countries have faced overtime because of subsidised agricultural production in developed countries.

In South Africa, a specific requirement of the Biofuels Industrial Strategy was to create a link between the first and second economies. This requirement entailed creating jobs in under-developed areas, such as in the former homelands, where agriculture was undermined by the apartheid system.

Clear government policy regulations and incentives are a pre-requisite for the development of the biofuels industry. This is particularly due to the volatile nature of oil product prices with which biofuels must compete; this is a similar scenario to that experienced by South Africa during the development of the synthetic fuel industry.

1.2 Background

This section traces chronologically the development of Government policy on energy (including renewable energy and biofuels) and sustainable development that gave impetus for the development of a biofuels industry in the country.
1998 – The *White Paper on Energy Policy* set the country’s energy policy and acknowledged the importance of alternate transport fuels and a diversified energy supply mix.

May 2001 – Department of Arts, Culture, Science and Technology completed a *Technology Audit of the Transport Fuels Sector*, which concluded that the largest energy saving potential lies in improving vehicle efficiencies; that biofuels need more investigation; and that the level of government support should be determined.

2002 – South Africa hosted the World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD). The outcome of the WSSD was the *Johannesburg Plan of Implementation* (JPoI), which commits South Africa to developing renewable energy technologies, including biofuels.

2003 – The *White Paper on Renewable Energy* sets a renewable-energy target of 10 000 GWh (equivalent to 0.8 Mtoe) to be achieved by 2013. In South Africa, transport fuels make up 30% of energy consumption (by energy content) and 70% (by value). Therefore the transport sector is an important energy sector to consider the development of renewable energy sources and technologies.

2003 – The *Petroleum Products Amendment Act*, (Act No. 58 of 2004), authorises the Minister of Minerals and Energy to require licensed liquid fuel wholesalers and producers to supply and sell petroleum products made from “vegetable matter”. This is an important legislative vehicle for the biofuels development in the country.

16 February 2005 – The *United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change* (UNFCCC) and its related *Kyoto Protocol* comes into effect. The *Kyoto Protocol* obliges industrialised countries (known as “Annex 1 countries”) to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions by at least 5% compared to 1990 levels over 2008 to 2012, primarily by investing in cleaner technologies in developing countries. South Africa acceded to the *Kyoto Protocol* in March 2002. Although the *Kyoto Protocol* does not commit the non-Annex 1 countries (like South Africa) to any quantifiable emission targets, there is potential for future, low-cost emission reduction options in these countries. The Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) provides for trade in certified emission reductions...
(CERs) between non-Annex 1 countries and Annex 1 countries and thus supports sustainable development with respect to greenhouse-gas emissions reduction in developing countries. At the same time it helps Annex 1 countries to comply with their Kyoto Protocol commitments. Biofuels projects may apply for carbon emission reduction credits via mechanisms such as fuel switching.

- **2005** – The National Treasury approved the increase of the Fuel Levy exemption for biodiesel from 30% to 40%. The exemption was introduced in 2003. SARS allows for 100% exemption for small biodiesel producers (less than 300 m³ annually). Biofuels investments also qualify for a tax-depreciation write-off of 50:30:20 percent over three years. This equates to support of about 10 cpl.

- 2005 - A Department of Science and Technology (DST) led Bio-diesel Joint Implementation Committee conducted a detailed examination and concluded that government supported biodiesel production can be justified due to its environmental and socio-economic benefits.

- **July 2005** – The National Treasury released a *Discussion Paper on Environmental Taxes*, which proposed an extension of the fuel levy exemption incentive to bioethanol, and that the basis for incentives should be linked to external benefits.

- **September 2005** – The National Treasury approved a *Renewable Energy Capital Subsidy Scheme* administered by the DME. In 2006/7. The *Subsidy* provides for 16.7 c/l subsidy for bioethanol and 27.3 c/l for biodiesel, up to a maximum of R20 million. However, cost competitive world scale projects typically require an investment in the order of R1 billion. Effectively this proposed support amounts to 2% of the required investments.

- **2006** – The DST supported the South African Bureau of Standards to develop the required analytical and technical capacity to perform bio-fuels analysis.

- **2006** – The *Cleaner Fuels Programme* phased-out leaded petrol and reduced sulphur in diesel to a maximum of 0.05% (mass). Regulations gazetted under the *Petroleum Products Amendment Act* in June 2006 in support of this programme included a specific
allowance for biodiesel addition and mandates fuel specifications according to South African National Standards (SANS). SANS has finalised specifications for biodiesel and fuel ethanol, and is developing a standard for ethanol gel fuel. The standards are in line with European, United States of America and Japanese standards. These countries were selected because the automotive industry is dominated by manufacturers from these markets. The revision of standards and their deployment fits in with the measures proposed in this document, which will enhance the development of cleaner fuels into the South African petroleum pool.

- **November 2006** – A draft *National Biofuels Strategy* submitted to Cabinet, was subsequently issued for public comment until May 2007. This document reflects the changes made to the draft *Strategy* based on the Biofuels Task Team’s analysis of stakeholder comments and inputs.

## 2 Objectives of this Strategy

The National Biofuels Industrial Strategy is driven predominantly by the need to address issues of poverty and economic development. The focus of the Strategy, therefore, will be the promotion of farming in areas previously neglected by the apartheid system and areas that did not have market access for their produce. Most of these areas are in the former homelands. The strategy is also aimed at creating a development balance between previously disadvantaged farming areas and commercial farming areas. This will ensure the sustainable development of the biofuels industry as it looks both at creating commercial agricultural areas and providing firm opportunities for new and emerging farmers. The Strategy seeks to stimulate rural development and to reduce poverty by creating sustainable income-earning opportunities. Biofuels plant investment can be a catalyst for the transformation of rural economies and contribute to the government’s Accelerated and Shared Growth Initiative (AsgiSA).

Furthermore, biofuels can also contribute towards the achievement of the renewable energy goals; energy security and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. The feasibility study underpinning this strategy included an analysis that considered the impact of all these factors.
Biofuels industry will support a variety of national priorities, including job creation, sustainable development and Black Economic Empowerment, thus prioritising these benefits in the Strategy enabling regulations and incentives to be optimised.

3 Feasibility Study Findings

The extent of Government support and the location of the biofuels plants will determine the ultimate size of the biofuels industry. Government support must be justified by maximising benefits and minimising costs and unintended consequences. As part of the feasibility study, a comprehensive and integrated model was developed to determine likely and optimum scenarios. From these scenarios, benefits, costs and risks were identified.

Biofuels supply requires low-cost, high-yield and surplus agricultural production, generally not destined for food consumption, as well as government support, particularly when crude oil prices are low. South Africa has limited arable land, only 14% of the total land available. About 10% of this land is irrigated, consuming 60% of the national water supply. However, in most years South Africa has surplus crop production, each of which could generate sufficient ethanol to meet 5% of national petrol demand. In addition, there are 3 million hectares of under utilised, high potential land, mainly in the former homelands. Utilising 1 mil ha of such land could produce biofuels representing about 5% of national diesel usage.

The feasibility study determined that production would vary regionally according to climate and soil characteristics and that co-product markets would limit biofuels capacity and affect costs. An achievable production level from surplus crops and under utilised land equates to 4.5% of national petrol and diesel volumes.

The proposed initial 2% biofuels penetration that will have a producer support scheme requires the use of local crops grown mainly on currently under utilised land in the former homelands and support from the commercial land. The 2% biofuels scenario will create 25 000 jobs. The capital spend per job created is about 65% less than the Industrial Development Corporation target. This will reduce unemployment by 0.6% (mainly in rural areas); boost economic growth by 0.05% (or 2.5% of the AsgiSA target increase); achieve a balance of payments saving of R1.7 billion; and a greenhouse gas emissions’ saving of R 100 million per annum. To reach
this percentage for biofuels penetration, will require investments of about R4 billion over the 5 year period. The creation of this new industry would require a favourable investment climate. The jobs-to-investment ratio is about 100 times higher than for crude oil refineries. South African motorists and consumers have in the past supported the investment and operation of oil refining. In addition they have ensured the viability of the synthetic fuels industry by sheltering it from low oil prices, specifically incorporating a reasonable return on capital support scheme for fuel wholesaling that produces minimal jobs, forex savings, economic growth and environmental benefits.

4 Current Situation

South Africa used bioethanol from sugar cane in petrol from the 1920’s until the 1960’s, when this fell away due to low international crude oil prices. Recent high oil prices and climate change considerations have led to major national and international interest in investing in biofuels production again.

A number of potential investors have expressed interest in biofuels development. Some sugar industry players are already producing bioethanol for the potable and export alcohol markets and are developing fuel ethanol capacity in neighbouring countries. Some maize growers, in collaboration with private investors, have announced their intention to build several maize-to-ethanol factories. This has faltered due to uncertainty over regulations and incentives and due to the significant increase in local and world maize prices. The maize price increase has occurred largely due to the USA, which produces about 50% of world traded maize, diverting 25% of its crop to ethanol production, as well as to the phasing down of agricultural export support. Similarly, world oilseed and oil prices have increased significantly due to higher oil prices coupled with bio-diesel demand and incentives in many developed nations, particularly in the USA and the EU. Many foreign companies have examined biodiesel production as part of their arms deal offset obligations. The global maize price increases and the shortage of basic food stuffs in Mexico, which were directly linked to biofuels investments, has influenced South Africa to exclude maize use in its initial stages of biofuels development.
5 International Situation

The demand for biofuels internationally is being spurred on by higher oil prices and government targets (mandates) and incentives. The leading ethanol producers are Brazil and the USA. Brazil has been producing ethanol from sugar cane since the 1970’s. It meets about 20% of their liquid fuel demand; Brazil’s national ethanol consumption is about 1.5 times higher than South Africa’s demand. Brazil is increasing capacity and aims to achieve a 50% ethanol contribution to liquid fuels supply. They are working on a Biodiesel programme. For Brazil, ethanol production is viable at an oil price of $45/bbl. However, this includes a renewable electricity co-generation incentive of about $10/bbl from sugar bagasse. In addition, electricity costs are significantly higher than South Africa’s, and this equates to an effective support of a further $10/bbl. To put South African ethanol producers on the same footing would require a $20/bbl higher oil price than applies in Brazil, or about $65/bbl. In this case, $20/bbl roughly equates to SA 100 cpl support to ethanol.

The Brazilian experience indicates that the renewable energy benefit will be derived from the ethanol and electricity produced from sugar bagasse. The sugar based Bioethanol production industry has a positive energy balance, as it generates its own energy needs without an additional burden on the national grid. The USA produces ethanol from maize supported by incentives of up to R2 per litre (ca $40/bbl). The EU recently reached a 2005 biofuels target of 2% of road transport fuel usage and has set a target of 5.75% by 2010 on the back of fuel levy exemptions amounting to $80/bbl (SA 400 cpl). The energy balance of generating Bioethanol from maize is negative, because this industry does not generate its own energy.

Contrasted with South Africa, it is important to note that Brazil has abundant agricultural potential and in the USA farmers get huge subsidies and the feedstock used is not a staple food crop.

The success of biofuels varies from country to country and largely depends on government support. However, long term investments and increased efficiency have proved important in Brazil’s case. Germany, the largest user of biodiesel, is now reducing its incentives, to cut losses to the fiscus, and is moving to a mandatory target. This approach has problems as fuel off-takers will only buy until the annual mandate is reached. If mandates are fulfilled early in the year, then any additional biofuels produce could lose the market.
Comparative analysis of international experience shows that the biofuels industry particularly as an infant industry is at the mercy of volatile oil prices, crop prices and exchange rates. It therefore requires government support in the initial stages.

6 Issues Involved

The Biofuels Industrial Strategy is premised on the development of partnerships along the value chain and across the affected sectors. Firstly, the Strategy envisages the creation of a reliable market for fuels from biological sources and the fuels market. Biofuels can be used as blending components in both petrol and diesel production. The proposed blending ratio for South Africa is B2 or 2% biodiesel and E8 or 8% Bioethanol blend. In the case of petrol, bioethanol can substitute a number of octane boosters currently used by the oil industry and biodiesel can be used by the synthetic fuels producers and other producers as a blending stock.

Secondly, the Strategy is premised on the pegging of the sales price of bio-ethanol and biodiesel as blending components at a price that covers the costs associated with running a biofuels plant\(^1\), agricultural feedstock and of transportation. It is expected that farmers supplying the biofuels plant with feedstock, particularly emerging farmers, could organise themselves into co-operatives to maximise benefits and market power and also participate either fully or partially in the ownership of the biofuels plants. The Strategy with its focus on rural development envisages that contracts will be signed between farmers’ cooperatives and individual biofuels producers. This is currently done in the sugar Industry. The contracts will facilitate sourcing of funding from relevant funding institutions such as the Land Bank and guarantee feedstock supply for the duration of the contract.

Thirdly, it is expected that the cost of biofuels will be ring-fenced, and remunerated separately. This is because biofuels will be blended at wholesale level. The cost to the motorist will be equivalent to $65/bbl of crude oil based refined products and therefore will present a benefit, although limited to only 2% if the price of crude oil stays above $65/bbl.

\(^1\) The costs will include an allowance for a return on the installed capital commensurate with the risk. This return will be determined in the same way as the revised margin setting approach used to set petroleum products wholesale margins. The margin will be fixed for a period but reviewed regularly as per an agreed formula.
Fourthly, and perhaps most importantly, the mandate for the development of the biofuels industry was clear, namely: “Bio-Fuels are a key driver in AsgiSA, for socio-economic development. Cabinet, on 7 December 2005, approved the development of an industrial strategy targeted at creating jobs in the energy crops with the bio-fuels value chain, acting as a bridge from the second economy to first economy status.” It is in this regard that development of the industry based on imported feedstock has not been supported. This can only be supported at times of adverse agricultural production and when local producers cannot meet the investors demand.

Lastly, the Strategy targets areas of South Africa that are worst hit by poverty and deprivation. It hopes to generate economic activity, mainly, in the former homelands. Furthermore, only agricultural products grown in the previous homelands by historically disadvantaged farmers will qualify for the support. Only biofuels plants that have been identified to assist in achieving the initial target will be supported and their location will be a condition of the issuing of a manufacturing licence. The plants will be located throughout the country depending on the investor’s choices and also as per the conditions of licences.

6.1 Agriculture

Biofuels development in South Africa is about rural development and the provision of opportunities to the rural poor by creating a market for their produce that would otherwise not exist.

In addition to the international oil price, which largely determines biofuels prices, the viability of the biofuels industry is largely a function of the cost of agricultural feedstock, typically 70% of total costs. Operating costs and capital payback are each about 15%. The specific projects and their associated crops will be determined by factors such as land availability and productivity and the agricultural products market. The biofuels industry impacts positively on the agricultural value chain providing better off-take or demand security, which can also stimulate better land productivity.

Internationally, biofuels production is used to support local farmers, and European biofuels production requires massive subsidies to match existing agricultural subsidies. In South Africa, this is not envisaged because apart from sugar cane, which has a floor price, agricultural
subsidies are limited. Thus the support to develop feedstock supply will be achieved by targeting existing agricultural support programmes such as Comprehensive Agricultural Support Programme (CASP) of the Department of Agriculture (DoA) to assist biofuels investments by increasing production. CASP will prioritize those aspects of production that will enhance effective cropping for biofuels. The budget for CASP is increasing steadily, which over time will enable more farmers to be involved. If under utilised areas are prioritised for feedstock production, then CASP will be in line to enhance that priority. Other sources of support from provincial departments of agriculture will be supplemented by CASP.

One of the objectives of the grain strategy is to address the drop in the production areas based on availability of markets. It has identified as a challenge the dropping of prices due to the increase in supply not met by the demand. This leads most farmers to produce less, with much agricultural land left lying fallow. The grain strategy, therefore, will assist in food security challenges that might be brought about by the development of Biofuels Industry nationally and internationally.

6.2 Food Security

The development of a modest biofuels sector, which targets under utilised land in former homelands, should have a minimal impact on both food security and prices. Currently 14% of arable land is under utilised, and most of it is in the former homelands. These areas lack market access which biofuels plants will provide and infrastructure that agricultural and infrastructural support programmes should provide. Specifically the 2% level of biofuels proposed for support for the incubation phase will not compromise existing food markets, as this target can be achieved with about 300 000 ha of land or about 1.4% of national arable land.

The main concern regarding food security revolves around the use of maize for ethanol production. It is for this reason that it has been proposed that maize for the production of bio-ethanol should be excluded in the initial phases of the strategy implementation. It is envisaged that bio-ethanol production from maize will be considered only once certainty on the ability of the currently under utilised land to produce has been ascertained and the necessary measures are in place to guard against extreme (industry linked) food inflation. It is, however, believed that almost all of the previous productive land in the homelands can be brought into full
production once a firm market for the produce has been secured. To specifically ensure the use of under utilised land from the former homelands, a fixed margin scheme will only apply to litres produced from feedstock grown on this under utilised land.

6.3 Animal Feed

Biofuels production will also contribute to food security by increasing the availability of by-products that can be used for animal feed. These include protein oilcake, from biodiesel production from sources such as soya beans, which are currently being imported. Concerns have been raised that biofuels production could lead to an oversupply of these by-products. The analysis undertaken during the development of the Strategy showed that this would not be the case for biofuels production of up to 4.5%. Therefore the 2% incubation level proposed on this revised Strategy will also not have any impact. Distillers Dried Grains and Soluble (DDGSs) will be absorbed into the animal feed without any major expansion in the livestock industry. This fact has been confirmed by the animal feed industry during the stakeholder consultation process. The animal feed will provide important additional income streams for biofuels plants.

The concern about animal feed is premised on the South African livestock industry remaining static and, in particular, there not being an expansion of the feedlot industry. Such expansion is already taking place, for example under the Livestock Beneficiation Programme of the North West province. The expansion will increase the demand for animal feed and increase the supply of cheaper meat and dairy products in the country.

6.4 Land issues

In the consultation process community members expressed concern that the price of land might be high as a result of biofuels production. They indicated too that they had been approached by potential developers willing to buy their land. The production of biofuels can contribute to the objectives of the Land Reform and Restitution programmes by providing sustainable market access for farmers who benefit from these programmes, particularly on development projects run by state-owned enterprises. Thus the biofuels industry can enhance rural development and economic activities for black landowners. In addition, meeting the 2%
biofuels target will require only about 1.4% of national arable land, which is not a significant percentage given that nearly 14% is currently under utilised.

6.5 Water Resources

The impact of biofuels production on water resources was raised as an important concern during the consultation process. DWAF has noted that much of the country is water stressed and that there are severe limitations on the availability of additional water for allocation to new uses. Irrigated agriculture already uses about 60% of the total available resource. Irrigated cropping for biofuels will have to find its water from existing allocations, or compete for scarce new water. The most important threat to water, therefore, lies in competition with other uses.

Dryland crops such as soya and maize are not listed as water users. Nor is dryland sugar cane, although this crop is recognised as an activity which can have a significant impact on stream flow, and thus availability to other users. Where dryland crops are found to have an undue impact on other users, these will have to be regulated, much as the forestry industry is currently controlled today.

DWAF has also noted that Water Allocation Reform is an important strategy within the Department, and that biofuels feedstock production could take up water made available through this programme.

Certain new dryland crops for biodiesel, such as Jatropha curcas and Moringa oleifera, could impact on water resources, competing for both land and water with the forestry sector. The research undertaken through the Water Research Commission does not show Jatropha to be a major water consumer but expansion into new crops will have to be monitored.

DWAF notes that impacts on water quality (erosion and siltation, and fertiliser and pesticide runoff) are as important a concern as impacts on available volumes, and that best practice management for both land and water will have to be applied to all biofuels cropping, both irrigated and dryland.
6.6 Biofuels Production Plants

The conventional technology to produce biofuels is well established, and production processes are mature, even in South Africa. More efficient technologies i.e. second-generation technologies, which are not dependent on food crops, are being developed and South Africa should keep abreast of these developments by investing in research and development. This will be facilitated by the existence of an initial domestic biofuels industry. The 2% that will be supported by a fixed margin scheme will only apply to proven commercial processes and agricultural feedstocks. The selected main crops for biofuels development in South Africa are soya, canola, and sunflower for biodiesel and sugar cane and sugar beet for bio-ethanol.

The biofuels industry needs to support government development priorities and provide investors, both the investors in refining plants and farmers, with a reasonable return on capital, stemming from a security of demand and an acceptable margin between the fuel-based biofuels price and the agricultural feedstock input cost. If the biofuels industry is to achieve the expected level, Government needs to facilitate an environment conducive to investment and the broader participation of previously disadvantaged citizens. Such support must not be excessive (i.e. too costly to the state and public) and should not jeopardise food security. The long-term intention is to reduce support once the industry is established. In other words, once capital has been paid back, efficiencies have improved and entry barriers and costs have been reduced. The 2% biofuels target includes a reasonable return that will only apply up to 2020 for approved projects and litres, and will enable debt to be repaid.

Licensing requirements will need to be streamlined to ensure biofuels development remains sustainable and is not delayed. However, all developers will have to comply with the required legal and regulatory frameworks.

6.7 Fuel Industry

Internationally, the oil industry is becoming more active in the development of biofuels and is accepting biofuels products, often driven by mandated upliftment. This involvement allows for the better management of biofuels in the conventional fuel-supply chain. This is already done in countries such as Brazil, USA and European countries where biofuels development is supported by the government. The draft Strategy supported the use of existing oil industry infrastructure to accommodate biofuels efficiently and allow biofuels to be regulated under existing oil industry regulations. The specifics of biofuels uptake still need to be negotiated with
the oil industry to maximise efficiencies, reduce costs, and ensure that the fuels are fit for the intended purpose.

In the production of petroleum products a number of blending components are used. The introduction of 2006 fuels specification resulted in an increased import of octane boosting components because almost all refineries in South Africa, at varying degrees, are octane short. The 2006 fuels specifications also resulted in lower sulphur in diesel, which raised concerns with lubricity (lubrication) of low sulphur diesel. Introduction of biofuels into other liquid fuels will go a long way in addressing some of these concerns. Biofuels, however, bring an added advantage in that they are locally produced and therefore Rand based which presents “hedging” potential for the motorist. It is for this reason that it has been deemed beneficial to all that a fixed price\(^2\) contract be offered to biofuels producers, in return for reduced crude oil price risk\(^3\).

### 6.8 Biofuels contracts

The agricultural sector has a number of active market instruments because agricultural products are generally sold on forward contract basis. Farmers generally sell 80% of their produce on contract for delivery at the end of the planting season, leaving only about 20% for sale on the spot. Like in all markets, the contracts are themselves traded, making the secondary and tertiary market the biggest market. Trade in these derivatives is up to 12 times that of the trade in the physical commodity. Although some farmers would sell some of the produce at spot prices, for them to access funding, they would have to enter into a fixed term contract with milling companies, etc. Without such contracts, farmers cannot get funding to grow and harvest their produce. The failure of homeland farmers is largely attributed to a lack of market for their produce.

The core of the biofuels strategy is the contract to be signed between oil companies and biofuels producers. The details of the proposed contract will be finalised during the development of the implementation plan but in essence biofuels will be bought at a price that

\(^2\) The price will be adjusted on a regular basis in the same manner as for all fuel price components.

\(^3\) The level of price risk cover is limited to 2% of fuel pool. Even if the crude price was to fall below the current level, exposure is limited to 2% of fuel pool. The likelihood, however, is that the price of crude will rise and therefore motorists, in the long run, should benefit more from the transaction.
will ensure long term viability of both biofuels refining and feedstock growing processes. The contract will come with an obligation to supply approved crops grown only in designated areas and with a guarantee that the said crops will be bought at a given price, regardless of the price of crude oil. The price at which biofuels producing plants buy crops will have to be on par with that paid to producers for the food sector. If the price was to be different, it would create arbitrage opportunities for speculators: buying crops destined for one sector for sale to another. The idea is not to create a different contract for the biofuels sector. A fixed margin will then be added to the cost of acquisition of feedstock to cover transport and refining costs.

The contracting mechanism will work along the following principles: Oil companies will enter into a contract with biofuels producers and buy biofuels as a blending stock at the agreed price. As per estimated production for a period, monies will be collected from the petrol pump to cover the sale and paid to a dedicated “slate account”. Oil companies will then submit claims against the slate account. To ensure that the system functions properly, however, payment will only be made to a contracting oil company upon receipt of proof of sale from biofuels producers to the contracting oil company.

6.9 Mandating Biofuels Uptake

Mandatory off-take can be introduced only when security of biofuels supply can be guaranteed. However, the different biofuels manufacturers will enter into supply and off take agreements with the individual oil companies. The oil company will in turn claim the amount bought from a dedicated slate, and in turn these costs will be passed on to the motorists on a straight cost recovery basis, based on the agreed rate in cents per litre.

The option of enforcing or mandating biofuels uptake in the initial phase is not favoured. Rather biofuels producers should be enabled to reduce prices, enabling parties supplied by the oil companies to purchase directly from biofuels producers. However, the mandating of biofuels can be done through the Petroleum Products Amendment Act, which gives the Minister the powers to do this. It is further proposed that petrol containing bio-ethanol should retail at a deregulated price and this should be done to facilitate off-take. In this way price deregulation is proposed for biofuels. Such deregulation will also contribute to oil industry liberalisation and support new BEE wholesaler entrants.
6.10 Integration

The biofuels industry provides an opportunity to link the second economy with the developed first economy as it is agriculturally-based, requires low levels of technology and is employment intensive. The first economy is a major user of liquid transportation fuels. The integration of the value chain needs clearly defined partnerships. Specifically, the producer incentive scheme should be limited to litres produced using agricultural feedstock supplied from currently under utilised land in the former homelands.

7 The Strategy

Government intervention and regulation should be considered, but minimised, and incentives should decrease over time. Regulation may be needed where there are market failures.

Following comments and inputs from the broader stakeholder groups the BTT, in addressing these comments, particularly around food security, environmental concerns, land concerns, benefits for small farmers and quality control, has revised the initial target of 4.5% as proposed in the draft Strategy to a 2% target in the initial development stages. The Biofuels Strategy initially aims to develop the biofuels industry to achieve a market penetration of 2% of road liquid transport fuels. This would contribute 30% to the national Renewable Energy target for 2013. In terms of the liquid fuels, it represents about 30% of national energy consumption. This is to be based on local agricultural and manufacturing production. Imports are limited to ensure increased use of the local produce and local economic development, and in consideration of the biofuels strategy objectives such as job creation, bridging the gap between 1st and 2nd economies and promotion of BEEs.

The driver to achieve 2% biofuels investment is an incentive dispensation. The incentive scheme best suited to this is one that ensures biofuels investors and farmers a reasonable return on assets commensurate to risk.

For bio-ethanol, assuming a 100% fuel levy exemption, the support price was determined as R4.20 per litre ($65 per barrel crude oil equivalent), whereas for biodiesel, assuming a 50% fuel levy exemption, a supportive price on an equitable basis would be R4.88/litre (about $80
per barrel crude oil equivalent). The proposed incentive hedges biofuels producers and fuel consumers against low and high oil prices respectively. The costs of the incentive entail:

- The fuel levy reduction that at 50% equates to R15 000 per job. With justification, this rebate could be raised to 100%, or roughly R30 000 per job, which will still be competitive when compared to the cost of jobs for fuel retail site attendants. World Trade Organisation (WTO) rules allow for 100% fuel levy reductions for biofuels. A 100% reduction, given the relatively low South African fuel levy, would cost the fiscus less than 3 cpl on overall fuel levy income.

- The cost of forecourt attendants’ jobs built into the petrol price is 8.9 cents per litre for about double the number of jobs. Thus, just as self-service at petrol stations are prohibited to protect jobs, so too should biofuels receive some similar level of protection to ensure job creation. Biofuels have added environmental and balance of payment benefits.

The biofuels industry strategy further recommends that the following blending levels be achieved: for Biodiesel B2 or 2% blending requirement and for Bioethanol E8 or 8% blending requirement. For the initial investments and development of biofuels in the country, the following crops will be used for the production: for Bioethanol sugar cane and sugar beet and Biodiesel soya bean, canola and sunflower.

8 Specific Interventions

8.1 Licensing of Producers

Biofuels producers, like any other petroleum product producers, need to be licensed by the Petroleum Products Controller: “A person may not- (a) manufacture petroleum products without a manufacturing licence… issued by the Controller of Petroleum Products”. Thus under the act all petroleum producers small and large will have to apply for a manufacturing licence. Biodiesel producers are already registered with SARS for the fuel levy exemption. This registration needs to be extended to bio-ethanol producers.
The licence will apply only to qualifying producers up to a 2% penetration level of locally produced biofuels, for qualifying litres. Once the required level of production and biofuels penetration level has been reached, the licensing will be reviewed and adjusted accordingly. Biofuels producers will have to meet the licensing condition which will include amongst others, crop selection, feedstock availability, quality requirements, environmental standards (Environmental Impact Assessment) and water restrictions.

8.2 Off-take by Petroleum Wholesalers Based on Discounting

Biofuels economics are optimised when logistics and costs are minimised. Hence the preferred off-takers, excluding own use by producers and directly by consumers close to biofuels plants, will be via the existing oil industry at the depots, or refineries closest to the biofuels plants. This would involve blending biofuels components in accordance with SANS standards. Currently this amounts to 5% for biodiesel and up to about 10% for fuel ethanol. Higher levels, particularly of biodiesel, could be used in dedicated fleets. Using the existing oil industry helps ensure quality control. With some level of discounting to the Basic Fuel Price (BFP) of the competing mineral petroleum product, oil wholesalers should take biofuels.

Mandatory blending is not recommended for the 2% incubation phase. Over time, as the biofuels industry matures, the existing wholesale licences can be amended to mandate the uptake of biofuels. However, the mandating of biofuels could be done under the section 9(g) of the Petroleum Products Wholesale Licence regulations (R287, 27 March 2006) or via an instruction from the Controller of Petroleum Products in terms of section 12(3)(h)(ii) of the regulations. The price for such licensed volumes could be based on BFP. A discount can be included to account for the actual relative value and to cater for additional depot and market handling costs. Section 2(1) (c) of the Petroleum Products Amendment Act provides for the regulation of petroleum products prices, should this be required.

8.3 Fuel Levy Exemption

The existing Fuel Levy exemption should continue. Based on job creation benefits, an increase in the exemption to 100% is justified. It is proposed that the biodiesel exemption be fixed at 50% from the 2008 financial year, taking into account the limitations imposed by the diesel tax refund. Bioethanol producers, on the other hand, should receive a 100% fuel levy exemption from 2008. The increased support for bioethanol producers will be balanced by the higher oil
price floor for biodiesel producers. This will ensure that both sets of biofuels producers receive equal support per litre of qualifying production.

Biofuels production should also include participation by small investors and producers. Currently biodiesel plants producing under 300 000 litres/annum are fuel tax exempt, and it is recommended that this fuel tax exemption continue. This level was motivated by the need to simplify administrative procedures and is very low when seen against the oil industry, where a typical refinery produces 20 000 times this volume (exempted biodiesel volumes would constitute 0.005 % of oil refinery volumes) and there is an allowance for product losses of about 0.05 %. Accordingly it is recommended that the small producers’ threshold be raised to 1.2 million litres per annum for administrative fairness.

8.4 Agricultural Support

The Department of Agriculture has a number of programmes to support development of local agricultural production and value addition. These include programmes for small-scale and emerging farmers. Such programmes can be targeted to support farmers in crop selection, hedging, agricultural methods, logistics, infrastructure, research and development, and in negotiating contracts with biofuels manufacturers.

8.5 Capacity Building and Development

Government should ensure the training and capacity building of previously disadvantaged communities and emerging entrepreneurs to maximise transformation and the benefits inherent in this industry. These activities should be performed in conjunction with the Sector Education and Training Authorities (SETAs), particularly in the agricultural and energy sectors. It is envisaged that the new biofuels industry be a driver of transformation and skills development, coupled with sustainable job creation.

8.6 Government Agencies

Bringing under utilised agricultural land into production not only creates greater macro-economic benefits but also entails higher investment risk. To ensure such development takes place, which may correspond with poverty nodes, government agencies, such as the Central Energy Fund (CEF) and the Industrial Development Corporation (IDC) should become involved, with support from the provincial agricultural departments. The CEF and IDC should
maximise Black Economic Empowerment (BEE) in such developments, particularly by including exit strategies allowing them to sell their investments to BEE players. These BEE investors could be assisted by the National Empowerment Fund and any other programmes that may be introduced.

State Owned Entities (SOEs) can play an important if not a leading role in getting the infant biofuels industry off the ground. Their roles will be different according to their various functions. On the supply side, Central Energy Fund (CEF) and the Industrial Development Corporation (IDC) will play a role as investors in public private partnerships or even as investment consortium leaders or indeed even as individual investors. They might even consider playing a role as lenders to biofuels projects. The strengths they bring to bear are a commercial approach and project evaluation which could be useful to small and medium enterprises. The Land Bank can play a crucial role in financing small farmers or small farmer cooperatives.

On the demand side some SOEs and Government Departments and agencies are large consumers of fuels. Examples are Transnet, Eskom and the Department of Defence. Again, Government wishes to play a role on the demand side by, for example, specifying in tender requirements that biofuels constitute a part of the fuel supply contract. A key requirement for all such SOEs or Departments is that their shareholder compacts or Departmental strategic plans reflect their roles in carrying forward the implementation of the Biofuels Strategy. This requirement places the onus on the “shareholder departments” to ensure that the shareholder compacts contain such provisions.

9 Other
9.1 SADC Integration

The agricultural production potential is greater in many of our SADC neighbours. Accordingly any biofuels developments in these countries should be encouraged in as far as they benefit the region, specifically regarding job creation and economic growth. However, given the early stages of the South Africa biofuels industry it would be prudent to focus on local development first. The SADC Energy Protocol, which includes fuel specification rationalisation, should be used to encourage inclusion of biofuels in the regional fuel pool and develop regional biofuels specifications. South Africa, by embarking on a biofuels development programme, will facilitate
our involvement and leadership in the regional biofuels industry. There is scope for future co-
operation in biofuels projects throughout Sub-Saharan Africa within existing NEPAD energy
projects.

9.2 Future Research and Development

It is expected that the demand for biofuels will increase gradually overtime and necessitate the
innovative solutions to the current limitations (feedstock and technology) to be sought through
research and development (R&D). In this regard South Africa will create an R&D platform that
will allow for the strengthening of local capacity and also leverage on international R&D work.
The DST together with relevant stakeholders within the National Systems of Innovation (NSI)
will facilitate the development and coordination of this work through a biofuels R&D plan that
will focus on the total value chain. The research focus areas will include the investigation of
alternative feedstock, development of energy crops (i.e. drought tolerance, high yield per ha,
energy efficiency etc.) and improvement of known technologies whilst further developing,
supporting and piloting the second generation technologies.

10 Implementation Plans

The Biofuels Task Team focused on determining an achievable level and type of national
biofuels industry, and the policy measures needed to ensure this. Until the Strategy is
approved it did not make sense to develop a comprehensive implementation plan and hence
there was only a limited examination of implementation details. The proposed policy requires
partnerships and support from a number of stakeholders, many of them in the private sector,
for its success.

Implementation aspects were, however, covered briefly to give stakeholders an idea of what is
being considered, but details will be developed when the Strategy is approved. It is
recommended that the BTT continues but that it shifts its focus to implementation, monitoring
and strategy refinement.

Given the availability of existing mechanisms and funds, the infancy of the biofuels industry
and the desired phasing-in thereof, it is prudent to start with existing funds and resources.
10.1 Communication and Education

Public education will constitute an important element of Strategy implementation. The BTT in collaboration with Government Communication and Information System (GCIS), should lead the biofuels communication drive, emphasising the incentives and requirements to comply.

10.2 Monitoring and Evaluation

Monitoring and evaluation will primarily be enabled by the licensing system for biofuels producers and petroleum wholesalers. In addition, the reasonable return on assets scheme will provide ongoing liaison with producers and developments. This will be done by the DME with assistance from the BTT. The DME approach will be to refine the strategy as the industry develops – this is common practice in most countries even with longstanding biofuels programmes, typically having major review every five years. Once the initial 2% penetration level has been achieved, a formal review of the Strategy will be held. It is expected that this should occur at least before 2013.

10.3 The Way Forward

The immediate focus is on incubating the industry to a 2% biofuels penetration level and encouraging the use of agricultural feedstocks from currently under utilised lands. For this goal to be realised, the support mechanism needs to be finalised and announced. Initially the ambit of the fixed margin support mechanism should be communicated, and submissions as to the levels (SA cpl) required for this be obtained. This would enable the approved support mechanism to be introduced as part of the 2008 budget.

The support level should be fixed for the first 2% biofuels investment through to 2020 to give investors some certainty and a decent rate of return on their assets. The fixed support in SA cents per litre would, due to inflation, translate into a reduced level of real support over time. In addition, the criteria for approving the 2% investment will need to be finalised. Specifically, only litres produced from agricultural feedstock grown on under utilised land in the former homelands will qualify. Care should be taken to ensure investment incentives are only approved for the 2% penetration level, irrespective of the number of applicants. This is to avoid over exposure of the fiscus and/or consumers.